Effects on Courtyard Ventilation caused by Openings Tobias Gronemeier, Matthias Sührung Leibniz University Hannover, Germany



Why we did it

- Within the urban environment, courtyards act as areas of recreation for citizens
- In the surrounding of courtyards, large amounts of air pollutants are emitted threatening people's health
- Deep understanding of local ventilation effects are crucial for urban planning and design to keep pollutants out of courtyards

How we did it

- LES simulation of an idealized building array, using the model PALM
- Neutral condition with a mean flow along x direction
- Cyclic boundary conditions to ensure turbulent inflow conditions
- 3 cases using a different aspect ratio (AR): 1.0, 3.0, 0.3 Definition: AR = height of courtyard / width of courtyard



• Former studies of courtyard ventilation focused only on isolated structures (Hall, 1999)

 \rightarrow How do openings influence the ventilation of courtyards in a turbulent wind field?

- Passive scalar emitted along the streets
- Spatial resolution: 0.4m, building height: 20m, opening size: 4m x 4m

Figure 1: 3D view of the model domain

Validation		Flow field within the courtyards
 Validation was done simulating a single closed courtyard and comparing the mean wind speed with results from literature 	Definitions:	Scalar concentration differs significantly between different couryard openings
	H: building height u _{ref} : u at height H	• Depending on orientation of opening, it ventilates or pollutes the courtyard
 According to Hall et al. (1999) and Ryu and Baik (2009), PALM gives very similar results for u using 1m grid resolution 	Δ : gird width in x, y, z direction	• Also the flow condition around the building structure can change the influence of the opening on the ventialtion
	s _B : background concentration	
• Comparing simulations of different Δ shows that Δ =0.4m sufficiently resolves the flow within the courtyard as well as the flow through th opening		• Changes between different cases are also significant (less influence for AR=0.3, stronger influence for AR=3.0)
2.0 \bigcirc Hall et al. (1999) \bigcirc Ryu and Baik (2009) 1.5 \bigcirc Validation case 1.5 \bigcirc	$\begin{array}{c} (b) & (y \mid z) = (50m \mid 2m) \\ 0.60 & + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + $	C front



u / u

0.00

-0.30



-10 -5 0 5 10 _{S back}

Figure 5: normalized scalar concentration s

-10 -5 0 5 10 x (m)

.



and wind field of case AR=1.0

Pollution / ventilation through opening

H / 2.0

1.0

• In case AR=3 (fig. 6a), openings at the eastern and western side pollute the courtyard while northern and southern openings have close to no effect

• In case AR=1 (fig. 6b), all eastern openings pollute while western openings either pollute or ventilate, depending on the row (front or center/back)

• In case AR=0.3 (fig. 6c), the influence of openings is significantly smaller than in the other two cases



Residence time of pollutant

• Residence-time scale τ gives the time which a pollutant resides within the courtyard volume

-10 -5 0 5 × (m)

- In case AR=3 (fig. 7a), τ shows largest values; N/S and E courtyards show bi-modal distribution, which indicates intermittend turbulence
- In case AR=1 (fig. 7b), W front courtyard shows higher τ more often, therefore pollutants tend to reside longer in these kind of courtyards



• Case AR=0.3 (fig. 7c) does not show any significant changes for different openings

Let's summarize

H 1.0

0.5

- Wind field within a courtyard can be significantly altered by openings
- The influence of the opening on courtyard ventilation depends on the aspect ratio (AR), the orientation of the opening, and the flow field surrounding the building
- Openings can either pollute or ventilate the courtyard
- Residence time of pollutants can be extended by openings
- \rightarrow Openings must be considered when courtyard ventilation is analyzed

What's next

- Following this study, further research is required to properly assess the influence of openings on realistic courtyard scenarios
- In many cases, courtyards accommodate trees and shrubs, which were excluded in this research. This should be considered in a future study
- The used building setup was highly idealized. A more realistic building setup with varying building height and orientation might give a more sophisticated view on the influence of openings

References & Acknowledgments

Hall, D., S. Walker, A. Spanton (1999). Dispersion from courtyards and other enclosed spaces. Atmos. Environ., 33, 1187-1203, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(98)00284-2 Ryu, Y.-H., J.-J. Baik (2009): Flow dispersion in an urban cubical cavity. Atmos. Environ., 43, 1721-1729, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.013

This study was supported by MOSAIK funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under grant 01LP1601A within the framework of Research for Sustainable Development (FONA, http://www.fona.de). All simulations were carried out on the Cray CX-40 systems of the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN).

Download this poster by scanning the QR-Code:

gronemeier@muk.uni-hannover.de www.palm-model.org